UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,929	11/09/2006	Michael Duerr	285450US8X PCT	5376
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			HO, ANTHONY	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	11/29/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	<b>,</b>				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/567,929	DUERR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anthony Ho	2815			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 N	<u>ovember 2006</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any accomplicated any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the option of the option of the option of the property of the property of the property of the second of the option of t	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
		,			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Neterences Oried (170-052)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Neterences Oried (170-052)  Notice of Neterences Oried (170-052)  Paper Notice of Neterences Oried (170-052)  Notice of Neterences Oried (170-052)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

10/567,929 Art Unit: 2815

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 1-24, drawn to a semiconductor device.

Group 2, claim(s) 25, drawn to method of using a semiconductor device.

The inventions listed as Groups 1 and 2 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Kymakis et al, "Single-Wall Carbon Nanotube/Conjugated Polymer Photovoltaic Devices", <u>Applied Physics Letters</u>, American Institute of Physics. New York, US, Vol. 80, no. 1, 7 January 2002 (2002-01-07), pages 112-114, XP001092647 discloses a photovoltaic device, comprising a composition of carbon nanotubes and of at least one organic compound acting as a hole conductor.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

With respect to Group 1, the species are as follows:

- a. Species A hole conductor is selected from polymethacrylates and derivatives
  - b. Species B hole conductor is selected from polyaniline and derivatives

- c. Species C hole conductor is selected from polyphenylene and derivatives
- d. Species D hole conductor is selected from polyphenylene vinylene and derivatives
  - e. Species E hole conductor is selected from polythiophene and derivatives
- f. Species F hole conductor is selected from copolymers of triphenyl diamine
   derivatives and trimethoxyvinylsilane
- g. Species G hole conductor is selected from poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene: polystyrene sulfonic acid) (PEDOT: PSS)
  - h. Species H hole conductor is selected from polyacetylene and derivatives
  - i. Species I hole conductor is selected from polyparaphenylene and derivatives
  - j. Species J hole conductor is selected from polypyrrole and derivatives
- k. Species K hole conductor is selected from polyparaphenylene sulfide and derivatives
  - I. Species L hole conductor is selected from polycarbazole and derivatives
- m. Species M hole conductor is selected from polyisothianaphene and derivatives
- n. Species N hole conductor is selected from poly(1,6-heptadiyne) and derivatives
  - o. Species O hole conductor is selected from polyquinoline and derivatives

With respect to Species A-O, the species are as follows:

a. Species 1 – carbon nanotubes grown vertically

b. Species 2 – carbon nanotubes horizontally aligned

### With respect to Species 1-2, the species are as follows:

- a. Species a first and/or second electrode is a film or layer of a transparent material
  - b. Species b first and/or second electrode is a metallic electrode
- c. Species c first and/or second electrode is coated with an evaporated layer of fluoride or acetate or a combination of fluoride and acetate

## With respect to Species a-c, the species are as follows:

- a. Species i a solid inorganic crystalline substrate
- b. Species ii a glassy substrate
- c. Species iii a metal foil substrate
- d. Species iv a polymer substrate

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Application/Control Number:

10/567,929 Art Unit: 2815

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species A-O – claim 16

Species 1 – claim 13

Species 2 - claim 15

Species a – claim 18

Species b – claim 19

Species c – claim 20

Species i-iv - claim 21

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Kymakis et al, "Single-Wall Carbon Nanotube/Conjugated Polymer Photovoltaic Devices", <u>Applied Physics Letters</u>, American Institute of Physics. New York, US, Vol. 80, no. 1, 7 January 2002 (2002-01-07), pages 112-114, XP001092647 discloses a photovoltaic device, comprising a composition of carbon nanotubes and of at least one organic compound acting as a hole conductor.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Application/Control Number:

10/567,929

Art Unit: 2815

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Ho whose telephone number is 571-270-1432. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th: 8:30AM-7:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Parker can be reached on 571-272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2815

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AΗ

November 15, 2007

KENNETH PARKER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER